SECTION B – THE SUTTON TRUST Final Topline Results 20 December 2004

- Fieldwork for the MORI Teachers' Omnibus (Wave 3) covered a representative sample of 811 primary and secondary teachers in England and Wales
- These results for the Sutton Trust are based on the responses of 364 secondary school teachers in England and Wales
- Fieldwork was conducted by telephone between 5 November and 8 December 2004
- Results are based on all respondents unless otherwise stated
- Data are weighted to age, gender, phase and GOR.
- Where results do not sum to 100, this may be due to multiple responses, computer rounding or the exclusion of don't know/not stated categories
- An asterisk (*) represents a value of less than half of one percent, but not zero

DEMOGRAPHICS	
Gender	
	%
Male	40
Female	60
A = 0	
Age	%
24 or below	4
25-34	27
35-44	25
45-54	31
55 or above	13
Phase Primary	%
Secondary	100
Government Office Region	%
NE	4
NW (incl. Merseyside)	13
Yorkshire and Humberside	9
East Midlands	7
West Midlands	11
Eastern	13
London	11
SE	14
SW	10
Wales	8

Main Subject Area

Base: All secondary teachers (364)

	%
English	22
Maths	16
Science	17
ICT	5
Humanities	11
Modern Foreign	6
Languages	
Other	22

Years' Teaching Experience

	%
NQT/in first year of	6
teaching	
1-5 years	17
6-10 years	18
11-15 years	13
16-25 years	24
Over 25 years	22

THIS FORM IS THE PROPERTY OF MARKET & OPINION RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL (MORI) LTD MORI House, 79-81 Borough Road, London SE1 1FY

Current nesponsibilities	%
Classroom teacher/	56
subject teacher	50
Form tutor	11
Curriculum co-ordinator	11
Assistant Head of	4
Department	4
Head of Department	25
Key Stage Co-ordinator	8
Assistant Head of Year	2
Head of Year	8
Assistant Headteacher/	13
Deputy Headteacher (incl.	
acting) Headteacher (incl. acting)	4
	4
Senior teacher	1
Initial Teacher Training	1
mentor Initial Teacher Training	
•	-
tutor	*
Initial Teacher Training co- ordinator	
Induction mentor	1
Induction tutor	1
	*
Induction co-ordinator	
SEN co-ordinator	<u>2</u> 1
More able/Gifted and	1
Talented co-ordinator	
Child protection officer	*
Health & safety officer/ co-	*
ordinator/manager	<u> </u>
SMT	*
Performance	1
management/ staff	
development	
Assessment, reporting	1
and recording	
Transition co-ordinator	-
Teacher governor	<u>^</u>
Study support/ out of	2
school hours/ extra-	
curricular activities co-	
ordinator	
Inclusion manager	
Staff recruitment/	1
deployment External advisor/	
	-
consultant Exam officer	
Home-school liaison	
Educational visits co-	-
ordinator	*
Pastoral	*
Head of curriculum/	*
teaching and learning	
	-
Advisory teacher	
Professional tutor	1
Professional tutor Asst. head of key stage	*
Professional tutor	

Most Senior Level of Re	sponsibi %	ility
Classroom/subject/form teacher	28	
Curriculum co-ordinator Assistant Head of Department Head of Department	33	
Key Stage co-ordinator Assistant Head of Year Head of Year	16	
Assistant Headteacher/ Deputy Headteacher (incl. acting)	13	
Headteacher (incl. acting)	4	
Other	5	

Can you tell me the Key Stages of the pupils you teach?

,	%
Foundation/ Early	-
Years/ Nursery/	
Reception	
Key Stage 1	-
Key Stage 2	7
Key Stage 3	93
Key Stage 4	90
Post-16	41

SECTION B: SUTTON TRUST

QB1 Approximately 20 Academies have replaced failing schools in deprived areas, and the Government plans to extend the scheme to 200 schools by 2010 in a further bid to raise standards. Each Academy costs around £25 million and is owned by a private sponsor, who typically puts up £2 million, while the rest is Government funded. Academies receive state funding for ongoing costs but have significant autonomy in terms of how they are managed, the curriculum they offer, staffing, and school ethos. Both critics and proponents of Academies have pointed to these characteristics in support of their arguments.

How far do you agree or disagree that Academies are an appropriate way of raising standards of education in deprived areas. Do you ... ?

	%
Strongly agree	6
Agree	30
Neither agree nor disagree	20
Disagree	17
Strongly disagree	20
Don't know	7
Agree	36
Disagree	37
Net agree	-1

QB2 The Tomlinson Review of the 14-19 curriculum has recommended the introduction of a four-level diploma, with the intention of encouraging more young people to stay in education after the age of 16 and to allow universities to determine the strongest candidates. The diploma would include A-level and GCSE components; contain a core of key skills in Maths, English and ICT; recognise a wider range of subjects and activities (including vocational skills and community work); involve less coursework and more in-school assessment; and, at the advanced level, offer A+ and A++ grades.

How far do you agree or disagree with the Tomlinson proposals? Do you ...?

	%
Strongly agree	21
Agree	41
Neither agree nor disagree	14
Disagree	14
Strongly disagree	6
Don't know	4
Agree	62
Disagree	20
Net agree	42